1. Roll Call

2. ACTION ITEM: Suggested wording clarification to Agenda Item #4a of the November 17, 2011 Meeting Notes: “Recommendation from Steering Committee to base student verification for statewide program articulation on students’ “effective graduation date” rather than the institution’s “effective implementation date”.
   - Students graduating with an associate of arts or associate of science degree from a participating institution regardless of the program, are eligible to transfer under statewide program-to-program articulation starting with the effective graduation date.
   - Two-year institutions (and four-year institutions that offer parallel bachelor degrees) would indicate the “effective graduation date” at the same time they identify the articulated degree program. Two-year institutions with articulated associate degrees will indicate the “effective graduation date” and four-year institutions offering parallel bachelor degrees will indicate the “effective matriculation date” in the Statewide Program Articulation Database.
   - Institutions would provide the following data elements in the Statewide Articulation Database on PA TRAC:
     - Associate-Degree Institutions
       - Institution Name
       - Name of Articulated Associate Degree(s) (i.e., Administration of Justice)
       - Award Type (i.e., AA, AS)
       - Effective Graduation Date
     - Bachelor-Degree Institutions
       - Institution Name
       - Name of Parallel Bachelor Degree(s) (i.e., Criminal Justice)
       - Award Type (i.e., BA, BS, etc.)
       - Effective Matriculation Date
   - Students, advisors, faculty and the public would then access the information by use a searchable dashboard on PA TRAC.

   TAOC approved the revised wording by a vote of 29-0.

3. Updates & Announcements
   a. Fall 2011 End of Term Transfer Reports due in March 2012. PDE will provide additional information at a later date.
   b. Statewide Program-to-Program Articulation Database
      i. Each TAOC institution should appoint two Points of Contact for the database. An institution’s primary and secondary TAOC reps will be assigned this responsibility, unless the institution notifies PDE otherwise.
      ii. Statewide Articulation Database Training Webinar
1. All database contacts must complete the training webinar to access to the Statewide Program-to-Program Articulation Database and enter their institution’s degree program information in the system.

2. PDE emailed all contacts on Dec. 12 with December Webinar information:
   a. Webinar dates – December 20 at 11 AM & December 21 at 3 PM
   b. Register online by 5 PM on December 19.

3. January webinar dates TBD

   c. Dates to Remember:
      i. December 20 @ 11 AM & December 21 @ 3 PM – Statewide Articulation Database Training Webinars
      ii. January 1 – TAOC Standards Subcommittees reconvene
      iii. January 30 – Deadline to submit new courses for review by the Framework Standards Subcommittees.
      iv. March 31 – Deadline for Framework Standards Subcommittees to review and vote on new Framework courses
      v. May 15 – Deadline for TAOC institutions to establish transfer course equivalencies for newly approved Framework courses

4. Review Articulation Agreement Voting Process
   • Primary voting members of TAOC will be provided with links to the final draft agreements and to an electronic voting form on PA TRAC.
   • 2/3 majority vote required to approve.
      o Approved agreements will be posted to PA TRAC within a week of being approved. PDE will notify TAOC members when agreements are approved and posted.
      o Rejected agreements will be returned to the PAC along with TAOC feedback and guidance for revising the agreement.
   • One vote per voting TAOC member. All voting TAOC members are expected to vote regardless of the program (i.e. if institution does not have a specific program for articulation, should vote anyhow.) Votes must be submitted by deadline to be included in tally.
   • Tips for reviewing final draft agreements
      o Does the agreement identify foundation-level content and competencies required for entry at junior level?
      o Does the agreement align with policies of TAOC Statewide Program Articulation?
      o Does the agreement include requirements that are consistent with those of native students in the parallel bachelor program?
      o Ensure agreement addresses competencies/coursework/content area and does not identify required courses
      o How are grading requirements included?
      o Is the agreement logical?

Discussion:
   o How do we ensure the agreements are seamless and meaningful for the student? The challenges are in looking at the competencies/coursework/content area so that the student is not duplicating or repeating.
   o Some institutions are using curriculum maps to align current curricula with the agreement requirements (content and competencies), identify gaps and make modifications. Sharing this information could help other institutions implement the agreements on their respective campuses.
   o Institutions struggle with how to implement the agreements operationally and in a way that makes sense academically.
   o Could we develop a process where we all identify alignment? This could be a task assigned to an ad hoc committee or subcommittee of TAOC. Or could go back to pilot agreements and see what was done there.
TAOC members would benefit greatly by talking to other TAOC members and encouraging faculty to talk to their peers at other institutions.

5. DISCUSSION ITEM: Review Draft Program Articulation Agreements
   a. Art – Yun Kim, East Stroudsburg
      o The agreement recommends students prepare an art portfolio as part of the associate degree. The portfolio is not a requirement since not all institutions require it at the foundation level.
      o The PAC recommends including the Associate of Fine Arts (AFA) as a transferable associate degree. TAOC accepted the recommendation by a vote of 29-0.
   b. Communications – Ginny Carter, Delaware CCC
      The Communication PAC is recommending one general agreement that can work with the various communication areas.
   c. Criminal Justice – Ted Nichols, Penn Highlands
      The CJ PAC’s agreement is based on 7 foundational content areas and related competencies.
   d. Environmental Science – Inno Onwueme, IUP
      The Environmental Science PAC is working on the last revisions. The group is doing well. The recommended agreement includes two tracks which makes it different from other agreements.
   e. Geography – Ron Young, HACC
      The Geography PAC is considering the comments from TAOC members and expects to have a final draft to TAOC by the December deadline. The PAC recommends TAOC expand the Framework course offerings to include foundational Geography course(s).
   f. History – Wade Davenport, Reading
      The History has received TAOC’s comments and will respond accordingly when it submits it’s final draft to TAOC.
   g. Modern Languages – Barbara Lyman, Shippensburg
      The PAC used guidance from American Council on Teaching of Foreign Language to develop the agreement. A final draft has been submitted to PDE for TAOC consideration.
   h. Music – Stan Komacek, California
      PAC members continue to struggle with implementation concerns, specifically how to the receiving institutions will recognize performance competencies.
   i. Political Science – Karyn Sproles, Carlow
      The PAC is on track to submit a final agreement by the deadline. They are making a few adjustments for clarification and in response to TAOC feedback.
   j. Social Work – Darla Coffey, West Chester
      The Social Work PAC is still working on the 2nd draft, due to questions/clarifications regarding CSWE accreditation. As soon as 2nd draft is ready, PDE will forward it to TAOC for review and comment. The PAC will then have one week to respond to that feedback and submit a final draft for TAOC vote. TAOC members should expect to receive the 2nd draft close to the beginning of the spring semester.
   k. Sociology – Mary Frances Archey, CCAC
      The Sociology PAC is on track to submit a final draft for TAOC vote by the deadline.
I. Theatre – Jill Murray, Lackawanna
   Jill recognized co-chair Michael Whistler for his leadership. The PAC is on track to meet the December deadline.

m. 4-8 Education – Phil Ginnetti, Edinboro
   The agreement is based on the 4-8 Guidelines issued by PDE. Bob Smith (Millersville) expressed reservations about the vague content descriptions and lack of competencies in the 2nd draft. Bill Sands (IUP) agreed to relay TAOC’s concerns from the meeting and the 2nd draft feedback process with PAC members. The 4-8 PAC may need additional time to complete a final draft.

n. Secondary Education – Francie Spigelmyer, Butler
   The PAC was unable to schedule a meeting before the end of the semester. Work will continue well into the spring.

6. ACTION ITEM: Recommendation from Steering Committee to form two standing subcommittees in Spring 2012:
   - Framework Subcommittee to review the 30-Credit Transfer Framework and related issues.
   - Assessment Subcommittee to evaluate and assess transfer system effectiveness.

   Discussion:
   - Act 114 requires TAOC to develop an assessment/evaluation plan for the transfer system.
   - There are several unresolved issues related to the Framework:
     - The Framework is built on courses and standards; the agreements are based on competencies.
     - The Framework has not been reviewed since it was developed.
     - Some PACs recommended adding titles to the Framework offerings.
     - Two subcommittees have proposed new course titles for the Framework.
     - Some subcommittees have taken liberty with their course approvals, while others have limited approval only to courses with near-match titles that meet the category standards.
   - TAOC voted 29-0 to form both subcommittees in the spring and to continue discussions concerning composition, charge, timeline, etc. at the next TAOC meeting.

7. DISCUSSION ITEM: Develop various ways to educate all stakeholders on statewide program articulation.
   a. Who needs to be educated?
   b. Mode of delivery?
   c. Topics?

   Discussion:
   - Suggestion to include information about Transfer Credit Framework on individual course syllabi or about program articulation on advising check sheets.
   - Add P2P info to PA TRAC and institutional websites
   - Note Framework courses in institution catalogs (i.e., “PA TRAC course”, or “Framework Course”, etc.)
   - Consider webinars or podcast recordings to help explain P2P and the Framework as well as the transfer system as a whole

8. New Business

9. Next meeting: TBD
Attendees:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TAOC Rep.</th>
<th>TAOC Institution</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ira Blake</td>
<td>Bloomsburg University of Pennsylvania</td>
<td>DUC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbara Ford</td>
<td>Bucks County Community College</td>
<td>Bucks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karyn Sproles</td>
<td>Carlow University</td>
<td>Beaver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brenda Shields</td>
<td>Cheyney University of Pennsylvania</td>
<td>Phone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ivan Banks</td>
<td>Cheyney University of Pennsylvania</td>
<td>Phone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ronald Nowaczyk</td>
<td>Clarion University of Pennsylvania</td>
<td>Clarion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frances Dice</td>
<td>Community College of Allegheny County</td>
<td>Beaver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Frances Archey</td>
<td>Community College of Allegheny County</td>
<td>Beaver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Gall</td>
<td>Community College of Beaver County</td>
<td>Beaver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melissa Denardo</td>
<td>Community College of Beaver County</td>
<td>Beaver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peggy Hennequant</td>
<td>Community College of Beaver County</td>
<td>Beaver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judith Gay</td>
<td>Community College of Philadelphia</td>
<td>Bucks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grant Snyder</td>
<td>Delaware County Community College</td>
<td>Bucks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yun Kim</td>
<td>East Stroudsburg University of Pennsylvania</td>
<td>Phone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cindy Doherty</td>
<td>Harrisburg Area Community College</td>
<td>DUC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inno Onwueme</td>
<td>Indiana University of Pennsylvania</td>
<td>IUP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill Sands</td>
<td>Indiana University of Pennsylvania</td>
<td>IUP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emily Wagner</td>
<td>Kutztown University of Pennsylvania</td>
<td>DUC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom Leamer</td>
<td>Lehigh Carbon Community College</td>
<td>DUC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia Mihalik</td>
<td>Lehigh Carbon Community College</td>
<td>DUC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jill Mitchley</td>
<td>Lock Haven University of Pennsylvania</td>
<td>DUC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dana Clark</td>
<td>Luzerne County Community College</td>
<td>DUC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libby Yeager</td>
<td>Luzerne County Community College</td>
<td>DUC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Smith</td>
<td>Millersville University of Pennsylvania</td>
<td>DUC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pete Cubbage</td>
<td>Montgomery County Community College</td>
<td>Bucks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Henry</td>
<td>Northampton Community College</td>
<td>DUC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laura Frank</td>
<td>PA Commission for Community Colleges</td>
<td>DUC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Gregory</td>
<td>Pennsylvania College of Technology</td>
<td>DUC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wil Delpilar</td>
<td>Pennsylvania Department of Education</td>
<td>DUC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Julie Kane</td>
<td>Pennsylvania Department of Education</td>
<td>DUC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ted Nichols</td>
<td>Pennsylvania Highlands Community College</td>
<td>IUP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kathleen Howley</td>
<td>PASSHE</td>
<td>DUC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pete Skoner</td>
<td>Saint Francis University</td>
<td>IUP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbara Lyman</td>
<td>Shippensburg University</td>
<td>DUC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Williams</td>
<td>Slippery Rock University</td>
<td>DUC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michele O’Connor</td>
<td>Temple University</td>
<td>Phone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Darla Coffey</td>
<td>West Chester University of Pennsylvania</td>
<td>DUC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Wright</td>
<td>Westmoreland County Community College</td>
<td>Beaver</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>